

Minutes: SBSC May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2021 meeting

In attendance:

Jamie Minacci, Mike Buffoni, Roxanne McCaffrey, Charles Kenny, Gary Kleinerman, Michael Nathan

JM thanked CK for April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2021 minutes  
Minutes approved with corrections.

CK: proposed minutes distributed to all members for review

JM:

Asked MN to discuss dredging project

MN: Overview:

Original hydro dredging was to be done with geotube bags located at 4 areas around the lake. Beach (possibly) & 3 homes- Grossberg/Wellencamp/Rabina

GZA (Town engineering firm) after consultation with hydro dredging firms felt that pumping the Silt straight across the bowl with pumping stations to Bullard woods was possibly more efficient and cost effective.

NHESP has agreed to the 5 areas of dredging:  
Beach/causeway /east/west of island/outlet.  
Even If they are not done at same time.

Cove: was originally in project and somehow the map and plans no longer show it in there.  
e-mail of map showing the cove as part of dredging was sent to GZA, Gregg W. & Roxanne M.

DK: how did this happen

MN: do not know-but we should fix it.

Anne Chabon: asked if silt is coming from Lilly pond

MN: only around Causeway area not into island area or outlet.

Island and outlet silting is caused by decaying plant life that cannot be washed away due to pipelines.

CK: has NHESP agreed to Bullard woods:

MN: NHESP has seen the plans and has agreed to them-

JM: has Concom agreed to this plan

MN: Con comm agreed to them when 5.5 drawdown was the plan and dredging was to be done with shovels. This new plan is much less invasive.

Michael B: concerned about potential water flow effect downstream during dredging and asked if gate was still working:

GK: gate is still working.

Chuck Kohrer: asked if committee is in favor of dredging project

CK: made motion to proceed investigating and going ahead with dredging.

Vote was called: unanimous vote to proceed with motion

Chuck Kohrer: is concom on board also?

JM: Concoms job is to protect Bowl

Kate Fletcher: Asked if DEP is on board-have we communicated with them

MN: DEP gave town \$675,000 for this project about 6 years ago.

Bruce Rubin: concerned about noise levels

MN: noise unfortunately will be unavoidable for the one Summer

RM: wants to find out what the decibel levels will be.

JM: concerned if dredging will lower the water level

MN: amount of silt is so small relative to the size of Bowl- should have no effect

Peter Strauss: concerned about noise and Tanglewood

MB: usually contractors have specific hours Monday-Friday 7-3:30

And usually not week ends

GaryK: Harbor Master: 3 topics

Ramp:

Spoke to Doug Cameron – (in charge of boat ramp)

Asked for following for ramp

Floating Dock, Parking lot paved, Trees need support-placing supporting material

ZebraM inspectors

Wishes to increase pay to \$15/hr. vs 13.50 – There is competition from other lakes paying \$15

Wants to Hire a manager at \$20/hour

Reduce hours of inspection to 10 -from 12/day

Hours: 7-5

Port o potties needed by Memorial Day

Patrick White: concerned about erosion of trees/cost of cutting them down vs saving them

GK: Trees are State issue being at state ramp

CK: Perhaps hiring a tree expert to know if they are healthy enough to save

RM: Harvester NOI going before Concomm at their next meeting.

MN: wished to speak about role SBSC committee members have.

Asked to be on agenda twice -did not happen.

Mentioned Article XX being brought up for a vote at upcoming Town annual meeting.

There was no discussion by committee on what should be in the articles.

Nor SBSC discussion about LMP and how to proceed.

As an example: article XX had no mention of recreational issues concerning the Bowl.

Or relationship of Bowl to community and neighbors

If we are an advisory committee then we need to discuss these issues as a group, debate and then recommend.

RM:

Warrant articles are put forward by Select board. Draft is posted on Town website.

Latest version was sent to MN

If one has a comment you can certainly communicate that.

As far as the name of SBSC: Committee vs commission just semantics

The SBSC was defined as an advisory group and remain sas such. Intent is to keep SBSC functioning in perpetuity to stress importance of Bowl and watershed.

If changes are to be made they need to be made now.

Chuck Kohrer: concerned about speeding motorboats in outlet. Recommended NO WAKE sign at outlet entrance.

GK: Agreed to do that

MichaelB: No stratification on bowl -all is good. Will be back on Bowl next month.

JM: next meeting May 21

Meeting adjourned

